



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/394,341	09/13/1999	ASSAF MORAG	HEAL0001	4085

22862 7590 12/30/2003

GLENN PATENT GROUP
3475 EDISON WAY, SUITE L
MENLO PARK, CA 94025

EXAMINER

KAPADIA, MILAN S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2144

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003

15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PRE

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/394,341

Applicant(s)

MORAG ET AL.

Examiner

Milan S Kapadia

Art Unit

2144

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Milan S Kapadia. (3) _____.

(2) Christopher Peil (Reg. NO. 45,005). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 November 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 87 and 110 in particular and all of record in general.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hawkins et al. (6,343,318) and Sato et al. (5,911,687).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative requested interview to discuss proposed amendments to the pending claims and additional case law for the Examiner's consideration. In particular, Applicant's representative discussed how claim language of pending claim 87 was not taught by applied prior art. Specifically, Applicant's representative stated that the applied prior art did not teach "attaching said patient's medical profile to said query message by said workflow engine," as presently claimed in claim 87.. The Examiner stated that any such arguments would be considered by the Examiner in any formal response filed by the Applicant's representative.. The Applicant's representative further stated that the combination of Hawkins and Sato was not supported. The Examiner disagreed and maintained that the combination was supported because a motivation directly out of the secondary reference was cited and the applied references did not teach away from the applied combination (citing MPEP § 2145) . Examiner will re-consider rejections in light of Applicant's response and/or amendment. However, any amendment requiring further search an/or consideration will NOT be entered.